PROJECT HIGHLIGHTS

- The first multi-asset PPP to be undertaken in the US using a "bundled" approach;
- The first PPP transaction in the state of Pennsylvania;
- The largest roadway project in Pennsylvania's history;
- The bundling of assets is expected to reduce the total cost of the project by 20%;
- Construction will occur at a much faster rate than what would have been achieved using a traditional procurement program;
- The largest ever Private Activity Bond (PAB) issuance in the history of the Federal PAB program (at time of issuance) (see the Financial Breakdown section of this note for details);
- US$721.5 million par value represents the largest ever allocated PAB issuance for a PPP transaction.

The project will involve the full demolition and replacement of all 558 replacement bridges, which are primarily single and multi-span bridges on smaller state highways, many located in rural areas. The bridges in the project are of a similar size and design, allowing for prefabrication, mass production, equipment reutilisation and standardisation.
Multi-asset PPPs are already being used in many jurisdictions to replace and maintain existing civil infrastructure, such as street lighting and schools. Many of these assets have not traditionally been considered suitable for PPP delivery due to their inability to provide value for money as an individual asset or a small bundle. By bundling multiple assets into a single project on a larger scale, investors have the opportunity to participate in a broader range of infrastructure sectors, such as local road and water infrastructure, and community facilities.

WHY USE THE PPP APPROACH?
The Commonwealth of Pennsylvania decided to take advantage of two legislative changes to bring its SD bridges into a state of good repair: Act 88 (2012), which authorises public-private transportation projects in the state, and Act 89 (2013), which increased annual state highway funding by restructuring the state’s gas tax and increasing a variety of fees. The reasons for using a PPP approach as opposed to a traditional procurement method are outlined below.

Key Advantages:
• Cost reduction of 20% compared to original PennDOT estimates using a traditional procurement method;
• Estimated date of completion for all bridges is years in advance of PennDOT’s original estimate using a non-PPP approach;
• Access to innovative design solutions, such as prefabrication of standardised construction materials;
• Greater incentives to deliver the project on time and within budget;
• Efficient service delivery linked to availability and milestone payments;
• Minimisation of transaction costs through a single procurement process; and
• Consistent risk allocation, enabling more efficient contract administration and allocation of resources.

Bundling the bridges together in a multi-asset PPP contract is expected to reduce the total cost of replacing the bridges by 20%, and at a rate of replacement many years in advance of what the state would have been able to achieve under a non-PPP approach. PennDOT estimated that it would take up to 20 years to replace all of the bridges using a traditional procurement and construction program. The batching of the projects will allow the bridges to be replaced and maintained at an average cost of $1.6 million each, versus $2 million each if completed by PennDOT.

The bridges selected as eligible for the project have similar characteristics; most importantly, they are relatively small and can be designed and constructed to standard sizes. The similarity of the bridges allows for streamlined design, prefabrication (mass production) of standardised components such as beams, and the replacements can be done relatively quickly. All of these factors make bundling the projects as one PPP contract the most efficient and cost effective way to deliver the bridge projects.

PROJECT TIMELINE
Key dates in the procurement timeline are shown below:

- **December 2013**
  Requests For Qualification (RFQ) out for Pennsylvania bridges PPP.
- **January 2014**
  RFQ deadline extension for Pennsylvania bridges PPP.
- **March 2014**
  Four shortlisted on Pennsylvania bridges PPP.
- **July 2014**
  US Department of Transportation approves PABs for Pennsylvania bridges PPP.
- **October 2014**
  Plenary-Walsh team wins Pennsylvania bridges PPP.
- **January 2015**
  Plenary reaches commercial close on Pennsylvania bridges PPP.
- **March 2015**
  Plenary and Walsh reach financial close on Pennsylvania bridges PPP.
- **June 2017**
  RBR Project’s 200th bridge has just been completed.
CONTRACTUAL STRUCTURE
The diagram below illustrates the main contractual components of the project structure.

FINANCE BREAKDOWN

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SOURCE OF FUNDS</th>
<th>AMOUNT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Tax-exempt PABs</td>
<td>US$793 million</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Equity</td>
<td>US$58 million</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Milestone Payments</td>
<td>US$224 million</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Availability Payments</td>
<td>US$35 million</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TOTAL</strong></td>
<td><strong>US$1.11 billion</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The project is financed through a combination of tax-exempt Private Activity Bonds (PABs), private equity, milestone payments, and availability payments.

PABs refer to the application of tax-exempt debt instruments for private investment, resulting in lower interest rates on the debt and access to the lower cost of capital from the US tax exempt bond market.

The PABs for the Pennsylvania RBR Project were allocated by the US Department of Transportation (USDOT), structured and offered by Plenary, and received a BBB investment rating from S&P. The bonds were issued in tranches with maturities ranging from 4 to 28 years, and priced with the lowest yields to maturity of any uninsured BBB level PPP bond issuance (at the time of issuance), with repayment sourced from milestone and availability payments throughout the 25-year operating term based on achievement of required asset performance levels.

The equity providers were Plenary Group (80%) and Walsh Group (20%).

Milestone Payments will be paid by PennDOT to PWKP according to a defined schedule and, while subject to deductions for non-performance, are also linked to the achievement of prescribed levels of work in place.

The Availability Payments include both a fixed element (90%) and an indexed element (10%) which is indexed to the Consumer Price Index (CPI) following Substantial Project Completion. Monthly Availability Payments are calculated based on the proportional number of bridges to have achieved Substantial Completion, along with a deduction factor during the construction phase to incentivise full Project Completion. Availability payments are paid by PennDOT to PWKP and are subject to deductions for certain non-compliance as defined in the Public-Private Transportation Partnership Agreement (PPA) between PennDOT and PWKP, including lane closures, lack of prescribed NBIS (National Bridge Inspection Standards) bridge ratings, etc.
CONSTRUCTION

More than 2,000 SD bridges were screened by PennDOT prior to issuance of the final Request for Proposals. A host of factors were considered, including age, length, number of lanes, and average daily traffic, as well as impact on utilities, railroads, and a wide range of potential environmental impacts.

Walsh Construction and Granite Construction Joint Venture (Walsh/Granite) is subject to specific lane maintenance requirements, detour requirements and calendar restrictions pertaining to the construction scheduling and logistics for each replacement bridge. Single-span bridges will be allowed to close for no more than 75 days, and multiple-span bridges for no more than 110 days.

The replacement bridges have been divided into two categories to facilitate the construction process:

- Early Completion Bridges (ECBs)
- Remaining Eligible Bridges (REBs)

There are 87 ECBs, for which PennDOT provided TS&L (type, size and location) plans, government approvals, Right of Way, and utility clearances, to facilitate the start of construction in 2015. PWKP has full responsibility for the design, coordination of utility work, and acquiring the necessary permits and government approvals for the 471 REBs.

The above arrangement was possible thanks to an Early Development Agreement between the US Federal Highway Administration (FHWA) and PennDOT, whereby the FHWA granted approval under SEP-15\(^1\) to PennDOT to allow PWKP to obtain the necessary permits and approvals for each REB in the project. Ordinarily, it would be prohibited for the concessionaire, in this case PWKP, to be responsible for permitting and approvals under FHWA’s Design-Build Contracting regulations.

The construction plan, using a local approach, envisions that the majority of bridge construction work will be performed primarily by Pennsylvania-based subcontractors. The large quantity of construction materials required for the project will also be primarily sourced from suppliers and fabricators located in and near Pennsylvania, providing a large and steady volume of orders over the three year construction period.

Bridge designs and components will be standardised as much as possible to facilitate efficient design approvals, permitting, materials procurement, and construction.

OPERATIONS AND MAINTENANCE

The main ongoing operational responsibilities are as follows:

| PennDOT | • Snow and ice removal and control;  
|         | • Emergency and incident first response;  
|         | • Pavement striping, reflectors, etc.;  
|         | • Asphalt maintenance (after first 5 years);  
|         | • Vegetation control in right of way (off-structure). |
| PWKP    | • Maintain all bridges at a condition rating of NBIS 7 or greater;  
|         | • Perform bi-annual NBIS inspections and preventative maintenance;  
|         | • Traffic management during maintenance activities;  
|         | • Identify and correct all damages to the project from emergencies or incidents;  
|         | • Control any vegetation growth on the bridge structures;  
|         | • Maintain any stream channels within 50 feet of each bridge;  
|         | • Maintain asphalt pavement constructed as part of the work for 5 years from Substantial Project Completion;  
|         | • Provide a contact to receive public feedback on the bridges. |

---

\(^1\) The US Federal Highway Administration (FHWA), a division of the US Department of Transportation, established the SEP-15 program to encourage tests and experimentation in procurements of federal aid transportation projects. Among the specific objectives of SEP-15 is the promotion of project management flexibility, innovation, improved efficiency, and timely project implementation. SEP-15 addresses, but is not limited to, four major components of project delivery: contracting; compliance with environmental requirements; right-of-way acquisition and project finance.
APPENDIX

Details of the Project

The RBR Project is expected to create efficiencies through economies of scale, innovation and optimal risk allocation that will allow PennDOT to deliver more bridges faster and at a lower whole-life cost than is possible when using a traditional “design, bid, build” procurement. The RBR Project will improve the connectivity of Pennsylvania’s transportation network, while minimising the impacts on the travelling public. The improved connectivity, including the removal or modification of certain weight restrictions on some replacement bridges, will increase the efficiency of freight and commercial movements, which will benefit the state’s economy.

*Images courtesy of BATIC Institute*

Additional details on the Pennsylvania RBR Project can be found at:
- [http://www.penndot.gov/ProjectAndPrograms/p3forpa/Pages/Rapid-Bridge-Replacement-Project.aspx](http://www.penndot.gov/ProjectAndPrograms/p3forpa/Pages/Rapid-Bridge-Replacement-Project.aspx)
- [http://parapidbridges.com](http://parapidbridges.com)
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